

|   |                            |                     |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>     | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|   | 10/742,321                 | YANG ET AL.         |
|   | Examiner<br>Necholus Ogden | Art Unit<br>1751    |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** non-final

(1) Necholus Ogden.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Howard Eissenberg.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 February 2005

**Time:** 11:00a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*all*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Bhagwat et al or Schacknai et al*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner offered allowable subject matter, applicant's rep. declined and an office action on the merits was requested.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)